



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,627	05/17/2006	Tsuyoshi Kusai	2006-0754A	9183
513 WENDEROTH	7590 12/20/2007 I, LIND & PONACK, L.L.:	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W.			BUDD, MARK OSBORNE	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/579,627	KUSAI, TSUYOSHI	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	·	Mark Budd	2834	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	ON.  It is timely filed  The timely filed  The mailing date of this communication  The mailing date of this communication  The mailing date of this communication  The mailing date of this communication	
Status				
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		•
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)□ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>5,6,13,14 and 20</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 17 May 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inforn	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 5-17-06.	4)  Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5)  Notice of Informa 6)  Other:	Date	

Application/Control Number: 10/579,627

Art Unit: 2834

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) is being anticipated by Tsuji, Ide or Wakabayashi. Each reference teaches a piezoelectric resonator package which has a base and a cap. Four electrode pads which electrically connect to the piezoelectric resonator are located in each of the four corners of the inside bottom of the base. Each reference provides a "avoidance means" to prevent short-circuiting of the electrodes. Ide and Wakabayashi explicitly teach the means in the form of cuts or slots. While not using the terminology "avoidance means", Tsuji explicitly shows gaps between the electrodes #4, #5. Thus, each reference clearly teaches the claimed resonator package structure.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 7, 10-12 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Wakabayashi or Ide in view of Tsuji. Wakabayashi and Ide teach the basic plays electric resonator package but do not mount the piezoelectric element on to the base electrodes using conductive bumps. However, Tsuji (note #11, figure 1 (a))

Art Unit: 2834

teaches that it is common to use conductive bumps to mount piezoelectric elements. In some cases it allows cold fusion bonding to be used.. in other cases it allows for the precise spacing between the piezoelectric resonator and the housing. Thus, for either of these reasons it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use conductive bumps for mounting the piezoelectric elements of either Wakasayishi or Ide. Regarding the various electrode architecture connections and configurations note especially figures 7-9 of Wakabayashi and figures 7 and 8all Ide.

Claims 5, 6, 13, 14 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Budd whose telephone number is 571-272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/579,627

Art Unit: 2834

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Budd Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834 Page 4